STATE OF CONNECTICUT

House of Representatives

General Assembly

File No. 493

February Session, 2014

Substitute House Bill No. 5043

House of Representatives, April 10, 2014

The Committee on Education reported through REP. FLEISCHMANN of the 18th Dist., Chairperson of the Committee on the part of the House, that the substitute bill ought to pass.

AN ACT IMPLEMENTING THE BUDGET RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE GOVERNOR CONCERNING EDUCATION.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Assembly convened:

- 1 Section 1. Section 10-264l of the 2014 supplement to the general
- 2 statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof
- 3 (Effective July 1, 2014):
- 4 (a) The Department of Education shall, within available
- 5 appropriations, establish a grant program (1) to assist (A) local and
- 6 regional boards of education, (B) regional educational service centers,
- 7 (C) the Board of Trustees of the Community-Technical Colleges on
- 8 behalf of Quinebaug Valley Community College and Three Rivers
- 9 Community College, and (D) cooperative arrangements pursuant to
- section 10-158a, and (2) in assisting the state in meeting the goals of the
- 11 2008 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et
- 12 al., as extended, as determined by the Commissioner of Education, to
- 13 assist (A) the Board of Trustees of the Community-Technical Colleges

on behalf of a regional community-technical college, (B) the Board of Trustees of the Connecticut State University System on behalf of a state university, (C) the Board of Trustees of The University of Connecticut on behalf of the university, (D) the board of governors for an independent college or university, as defined in section 10a-37, or the equivalent of such a board, on behalf of the independent college or university, and (E) any other third-party not-for-profit corporation approved by the commissioner with the operation of interdistrict magnet school programs. All interdistrict magnet schools shall be operated in conformance with the same laws and regulations applicable to public schools. For the purposes of this section "an interdistrict magnet school program" means a program which (i) supports racial, ethnic and economic diversity, (ii) offers a special and high quality curriculum, and (iii) requires students who are enrolled to attend at least half-time. An interdistrict magnet school program does not include a regional agricultural science and technology school, a technical high school or a regional special education center. On and after July 1, 2000, the governing authority for each interdistrict magnet school program that is in operation prior to July 1, 2005, shall restrict the number of students that may enroll in the program from a participating district to eighty per cent of the total enrollment of the program. The governing authority for each interdistrict magnet school program that begins operations on or after July 1, 2005, shall restrict the number of students that may enroll in the program from a participating district to seventy-five per cent of the total enrollment of the program, and maintain such a school enrollment that at least twenty-five per cent but not more than seventy-five per cent of the students enrolled are pupils of racial minorities, as defined in section 10-226a. The governing authority of an interdistrict magnet school that the commissioner determines will assist the state in meeting the goals of the 2008 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as extended, or the goals of the 2013 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., shall restrict the number of students that may enroll in the program from a participating district in accordance with the provisions of this

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

subsection, provided such enrollment is in accordance with the reduced-isolation setting standards of such 2013 stipulation and order.

(b) (1) Applications for interdistrict magnet school program operating grants awarded pursuant to this section shall be submitted annually to the Commissioner of Education at such time and in such manner as the commissioner prescribes, except that on and after July 1, 2009, applications for such operating grants for new interdistrict magnet schools, other than those that the commissioner determines will assist the state in meeting the goals of the 2008 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as extended, or the goals of the 2013 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., shall not be accepted until the commissioner develops a comprehensive state-wide interdistrict magnet school plan. The commissioner shall submit such comprehensive state-wide interdistrict magnet school plan on or before January 1, 2011, to the joint standing committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to education.

(2) In determining whether an application shall be approved and funds awarded pursuant to this section, the commissioner shall consider, but such consideration shall not be limited to: (A) Whether the program offered by the school is likely to increase student achievement; (B) whether the program is likely to reduce racial, ethnic and economic isolation; (C) the percentage of the student enrollment in the program from each participating district; and (D) the proposed operating budget and the sources of funding for the interdistrict magnet school. For a magnet school not operated by a local or regional board of education, the commissioner shall only approve a proposed operating budget that, on a per pupil basis, does not exceed the maximum allowable threshold established in accordance with this subdivision. The maximum allowable threshold shall be an amount equal to one hundred twenty per cent of the state average of the quotient obtained by dividing net current expenditures, as defined in section 10-261, by average daily membership, as defined in said section, for the fiscal year two years prior to the fiscal year for which

83 the operating grant is requested. The Department of Education shall 84 establish the maximum allowable threshold no later than December 85 fifteenth of the fiscal year prior to the fiscal year for which the 86 operating grant is requested. If requested by an applicant that is not a 87 local or regional board of education, the commissioner may approve a 88 proposed operating budget that exceeds the maximum allowable 89 threshold if the commissioner determines that there are extraordinary 90 programmatic needs. In the case of an interdistrict magnet school that 91 will assist the state in meeting the goals of the 2008 stipulation and 92 order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as extended, or 93 the goals of the 2013 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. 94 William A. O'Neill, et al., as determined by the commissioner, the 95 commissioner shall also consider whether the school is meeting the 96 [desegregation] reduced-isolation setting standards set forth in [said] 97 such 2013 stipulation and order. If such school has not met the 98 [desegregation] reduced-isolation setting standards [by the second 99 year of operation] prescribed in such 2013 stipulation and order, it 100 shall not be entitled to receive a grant pursuant to this section unless 101 the commissioner finds that it is appropriate to award a grant for an 102 additional year or years for purposes of compliance with [said] such 2013 stipulation and order. If requested by the commissioner, the 103 104 applicant shall meet with the commissioner or the commissioner's 105 designee to discuss the budget and sources of funding.

(3) Except as provided in this section, section 197 of public act 11-48 and the 2013 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., the commissioner shall not award a grant to (A) a program that is in operation prior to July 1, 2005, if more than eighty per cent of its total enrollment is from one school district, except that the commissioner may award a grant for good cause, for any one year, on behalf of an otherwise eligible magnet school program, if more than eighty per cent of the total enrollment is from one district, [. The commissioner shall not award a grant to] and (B) a program that begins operations on or after July 1, 2005, if more than seventy-five per cent of its total enrollment is from one school district or if less than twenty-five or more than seventy-five per cent of the students enrolled

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

are pupils of racial minorities, as defined in section 10-226a, except that the commissioner may award a grant for good cause, for one year, on behalf of an otherwise eligible interdistrict magnet school program, if more than seventy-five per cent of the total enrollment is from one district or less than twenty-five or more than seventy-five per cent of the students enrolled are pupils of racial minorities. The commissioner may not award grants pursuant to [such an exception for a second consecutive year] the exceptions described in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of this subdivision for an additional consecutive year or years, except as provided for in section 197 of public act 11-48, the 2008 stipulation for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as extended, or the 2013 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as determined by the commissioner.

- (c) (1) The maximum amount each interdistrict magnet school program, except those described in subparagraphs (A) to (F), inclusive, of subdivision (3) of this subsection, shall be eligible to receive per enrolled student who is not a resident of the town operating the magnet school shall be (A) six thousand sixteen dollars for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2008, (B) six thousand seven hundred thirty dollars for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2009, to June 30, 2012, inclusive, and (C) seven thousand eighty-five dollars for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2013, and each fiscal year thereafter. The per pupil grant for each enrolled student who is a resident of the town operating the magnet school program shall be three thousand dollars for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2008, and each fiscal year thereafter.
- (2) For the fiscal year ending June 30, 2003, and each fiscal year thereafter, the commissioner may, within available appropriations, provide supplemental grants for the purposes of enhancing educational programs in such interdistrict magnet schools, as the commissioner determines. Such grants shall be made after the commissioner has conducted a comprehensive financial review and approved the total operating budget for such schools, including all revenue and expenditure estimates.

(3) (A) Except as otherwise provided in subparagraphs (C) to (F), inclusive, of this subdivision, each interdistrict magnet school operated by a regional educational service center that enrolls less than fifty-five per cent of the school's students from a single town shall receive a per pupil grant in the amount of (i) six thousand two hundred fifty dollars for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2006, (ii) six thousand five hundred dollars for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2007, (iii) seven thousand sixty dollars for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2008, (iv) seven thousand six hundred twenty dollars for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2009, to June 30, 2012, inclusive, and (v) seven thousand nine hundred dollars for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2013, and each fiscal year thereafter.

- (B) Except as otherwise provided in subparagraphs (C) to (F), inclusive, of this subdivision, each interdistrict magnet school operated by a regional educational service center that enrolls at least fifty-five per cent of the school's students from a single town shall receive a per pupil grant for each enrolled student who is not a resident of the district that enrolls at least fifty-five per cent of the school's students in the amount of (i) six thousand sixteen dollars for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2008, (ii) six thousand seven hundred thirty dollars for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2009, to June 30, 2012, inclusive, and (iii) seven thousand eighty-five dollars for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2013, and each fiscal year thereafter. The per pupil grant for each enrolled student who is a resident of the district that enrolls at least fifty-five per cent of the school's students shall be three thousand dollars.
- (C) Each interdistrict magnet school operated by a regional educational service center that began operations for the school year commencing July 1, 2001, and that for the school year commencing July 1, 2008, enrolled at least fifty-five per cent, but no more than eighty per cent of the school's students from a single town shall receive a per pupil grant for each enrolled student who is a resident of the district that enrolls at least fifty-five per cent, but no more than eighty per cent of the school's students in the amount of eight thousand one

hundred eighty dollars for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2013, and each fiscal year thereafter, and a per pupil grant for each enrolled student who is not a resident of the district that enrolls at least fifty-five per cent, but no more than eighty per cent of the school's students in the amount of eight thousand one hundred eighty dollars for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2013, and each fiscal year thereafter.

- (D) Each interdistrict magnet school operated by (i) a regional educational service center, (ii) the Board of Trustees of the Community-Technical Colleges on behalf of a regional communitytechnical college, (iii) the Board of Trustees of the Connecticut State University System on behalf of a state university, (iv) the Board of Trustees for The University of Connecticut on behalf of the university, (v) the board of governors for an independent college or university, as defined in section 10a-37, or the equivalent of such a board, on behalf of the independent college or university, (vi) cooperative arrangements pursuant to section 10-158a, (vii) any other third-party not-for-profit corporation approved by the commissioner, and (viii) the Hartford school district for the operation of Great Path Academy on behalf of Manchester Community College, that enrolls less than sixty per cent of its students from Hartford pursuant to the 2008 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as extended, or the 2013 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., shall receive a per pupil grant in the amount of (I) nine thousand six hundred ninety-five dollars for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2010, and (II) ten thousand four hundred forty-three dollars for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2011, to June 30, 2015, inclusive.
- (E) Each interdistrict magnet school operated by a local or regional board of education, pursuant to the 2008 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as extended, or the 2013 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., shall receive a per pupil grant for each enrolled student who is not a resident of the district in the amount of (i) twelve thousand dollars for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2010, and (ii) thirteen thousand fifty-four dollars for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2011, to June 30, 2015,

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206207

208209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

219 inclusive.

(F) In addition to the grants described in subparagraph (E) of this subdivision, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2010, the commissioner may, subject to the approval of the Secretary of the Office of Policy and Management and the Finance Advisory Committee, established pursuant to section 4-93, provide supplemental grants to the Hartford school district of up to one thousand fifty-four dollars for each student enrolled at an interdistrict magnet school operated by the Hartford school district who is not a resident of such district.

- (4) The amounts of the grants determined pursuant to this subsection shall be proportionately adjusted, if necessary, within available appropriations, and in no case shall any grant pursuant to this section exceed the reasonable operating budget of the interdistrict magnet school program, less revenues from other sources. Any interdistrict magnet school program operating less than full-time, but at least half-time, shall be eligible to receive a grant equal to sixty-five per cent of the grant amount determined pursuant to this subsection.
- (5) Within available appropriations, the commissioner may make grants to the following entities that operate an interdistrict magnet school that assists the state in meeting the goals of the 2008 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as extended, or the goals of the 2013 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as determined by the commissioner and that provide academic support programs and summer school educational programs approved by the commissioner to students participating in such interdistrict magnet school program: (A) Regional educational service centers, (B) local and regional boards of education, (C) the Board of Trustees of the Community-Technical Colleges on behalf of a regional community-technical college, (D) the Board of Trustees of the Connecticut State University System on behalf of a state university, (E) the Board of Trustees for The University of Connecticut on behalf of the university, (F) the board of governors for an independent college or university, as defined in section 10a-37, or the equivalent of such a

board, on behalf of the independent college or university, (G) cooperative arrangements pursuant to section 10-158a, and (H) any other third-party not-for-profit corporation approved by the commissioner.

- (6) Within available appropriations, the Commissioner of Education may make grants, in an amount not to exceed seventy-five thousand dollars, for start-up costs associated with the development of new interdistrict magnet school programs that assist the state in meeting the goals of the 2008 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as extended, or the goals of the 2013 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as determined by the commissioner, to the following entities that develop such a program: (A) Regional educational service centers, (B) local and regional boards of education, (C) the Board of Trustees of the Community-Technical Colleges on behalf of a regional communitytechnical college, (D) the Board of Trustees of the Connecticut State University System on behalf of a state university, (E) the Board of Trustees for The University of Connecticut on behalf of the university, (F) the board of governors for an independent college or university, as defined in section 10a-37, or the equivalent of such a board, on behalf of the independent college or university, (G) cooperative arrangements pursuant to section 10-158a, and (H) any other third-party not-forprofit corporation approved by the commissioner.
- (d) (1) Grants made pursuant to this section, except those made pursuant to subdivision (6) of subsection (c) of this section and subdivision (2) of this subsection, shall be paid as follows: Seventy per cent [by] not later than September first and the balance [by] not later than May first of each fiscal year. The May first payment shall be adjusted to reflect actual interdistrict magnet school program enrollment as of the preceding October first using the data of record as of the intervening March first, if the actual level of enrollment is lower than the projected enrollment stated in the approved grant application. The May first payment shall be further adjusted for the difference between the total grant received by the magnet school operator in the

256

257

258

259

260

261

262263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

prior fiscal year and the revised total grant amount calculated for the prior fiscal year in cases where the aggregate financial audit submitted by the interdistrict magnet school operator pursuant to subdivision (1) of subsection (n) of this section indicates an overpayment by the department.

(2) For the fiscal year ending June 30, 2015, and each fiscal year thereafter, the governing authority of Goodwin College shall receive an annual per pupil grant for the operation of the College Academy interdistrict magnet school as follows: (A) For each student enrolled in the summer term of the fiscal year, fifty per cent of the amount not later than August first and the balance (i) not later than September first of such fiscal year for each such student who enrolls in the second trimester term, or (ii) not later than May first of such fiscal year for each such student who enrolls in the third trimester term; (B) for each student enrolled in the second trimester term of the fiscal year who was not enrolled in the preceding summer term, fifty per cent not later than September first of such fiscal year and the balance not later than May first of such fiscal year for each such student who enrolls in the third trimester term. The May first payment shall be adjusted to reflect the actual enrollment of such interdistrict magnet school program as of the preceding summer and second trimester terms first using the data of record as of the intervening October first and March first, if the actual level of enrollment is lower than the projected enrollment stated in the approved grant application. The May first payment shall be further adjusted for the difference between the total grant received in the prior fiscal year and the revised grant amount calculated for the prior fiscal year in cases where the financial audit submitted by the governing authority of such interdistrict magnet school pursuant to subdivision (1) of subsection (n) of this section indicates an overpayment by the department.

(e) The Department of Education may retain up to one-half of one per cent of the amount appropriated, in an amount not to exceed five hundred thousand dollars, for purposes of this section for program evaluation and administration.

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304 305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

(f) Each local or regional school district in which an interdistrict magnet school is located shall provide the same kind of transportation to its children enrolled in such interdistrict magnet school as it provides to its children enrolled in other public schools in such local or regional school district. The parent or guardian of a child denied the transportation services required to be provided pursuant to this subsection may appeal such denial in the manner provided in sections 10-186 and 10-187.

- (g) On or before October fifteenth of each year, the Commissioner of Education shall determine if interdistrict magnet school enrollment is below the number of students for which funds were appropriated. If the commissioner determines that the enrollment is below such number, the additional funds shall not lapse but shall be used by the commissioner for grants for interdistrict cooperative programs pursuant to section 10-74d.
- (h) In the case of a student identified as requiring special education, the school district in which the student resides shall: (1) Hold the planning and placement team meeting for such student and shall invite representatives from the interdistrict magnet school to participate in such meeting; and (2) pay the interdistrict magnet school an amount equal to the difference between the reasonable cost of educating such student and the sum of the amount received by the interdistrict magnet school for such student pursuant to subsection (c) of this section and amounts received from other state, federal, local or private sources calculated on a per pupil basis. Such school district shall be eligible for reimbursement pursuant to section 10-76g. If a student requiring special education attends an interdistrict magnet school on a full-time basis, such interdistrict magnet school shall be responsible for ensuring that such student receives the services mandated by the student's individualized education program whether such services are provided by the interdistrict magnet school or by the school district in which the student resides.
 - (i) Nothing in this section shall be construed to prohibit the

enrollment of nonpublic school students in an interdistrict magnet school program that operates less than full-time, provided (1) such students constitute no more than five per cent of the full-time equivalent enrollment in such magnet school program, and (2) such students are not counted for purposes of determining the amount of grants pursuant to this section and section 10-264i.

- (j) After accommodating students from participating districts in accordance with an approved enrollment agreement, an interdistrict magnet school operator that has unused student capacity may enroll directly into its program any interested student. A student from a district that is not participating in an interdistrict magnet school or the interdistrict student attendance program pursuant to section 10-266aa to an extent determined by the Commissioner of Education shall be given preference. The local or regional board of education otherwise responsible for educating such student shall contribute funds to support the operation of the interdistrict magnet school in an amount equal to the per student tuition, if any, charged to participating districts.
- (k) (1) For the fiscal year ending June 30, 2014, and each fiscal year thereafter, any tuition charged to a local or regional board of education by a regional educational service center operating an interdistrict magnet school or any tuition charged by the Hartford school district operating the Great Path Academy on behalf of Manchester Community College for any student enrolled in kindergarten to grade twelve, inclusive, in such interdistrict magnet school shall be in an amount equal to the difference between (A) the average per pupil expenditure of the magnet school for the prior fiscal year, and (B) the amount of any per pupil state subsidy calculated under subsection (c) of this section plus any revenue from other sources calculated on a per pupil basis. If any such board of education fails to pay such tuition, the commissioner may withhold from such board's town or towns a sum payable under section 10-262i, as amended by this act, in an amount not to exceed the amount of the unpaid tuition to the magnet school and pay such money to the fiscal agent for the magnet school as a

supplementary grant for the operation of the interdistrict magnet school program. In no case shall the sum of such tuitions exceed the difference between (i) the total expenditures of the magnet school for the prior fiscal year, and (ii) the total per pupil state subsidy calculated under subsection (c) of this section plus any revenue from other sources. The commissioner may conduct a comprehensive financial review of the operating budget of a magnet school to verify such tuition rate.

(2) (A) For the fiscal years ending June 30, 2013, and June 30, 2014, a regional educational service center operating an interdistrict magnet school offering a preschool program that is not located in the Sheff region may charge tuition to the Department of Education for a child enrolled in such preschool program in an amount not to exceed an amount equal to the difference between (i) the average per pupil expenditure of the preschool program offered at the magnet school for the prior fiscal year, and (ii) the amount of any per pupil state subsidy calculated under subsection (c) of this section plus any revenue from other sources calculated on a per pupil basis. The commissioner may conduct a comprehensive financial review of the operating budget of any such magnet school charging such tuition to verify such tuition rate. For purposes of this subdivision, "Sheff region" means the school districts for the towns of Avon, Bloomfield, Canton, East Granby, East Hartford, East Windsor, Ellington, Farmington, Glastonbury, Granby, Hartford, Manchester, Newington, Rocky Hill, Simsbury, South Windsor, Suffield, Vernon, West Hartford, Wethersfield, Windsor and Windsor Locks.

(B) For the fiscal year ending June 30, 2015, and each fiscal year thereafter, a regional educational service center operating an interdistrict magnet school offering a preschool program that is not located in the Sheff region may charge tuition to the parent or guardian of a child enrolled in such preschool program in an amount that is in accordance with the sliding tuition scale adopted by the State Board of Education pursuant to section 10-264p. The Department of Education shall be financially responsible for any unpaid portion of

the tuition not charged to such parent or guardian under such sliding tuition scale. Such tuition shall not exceed an amount equal to the difference between (i) the average per pupil expenditure of the preschool program offered at the magnet school for the prior fiscal year, and (ii) the amount of any per pupil state subsidy calculated under subsection (c) of this section plus any revenue from other sources calculated on a per pupil basis. The commissioner may conduct a comprehensive financial review of the operating budget of any such magnet school charging such tuition to verify such tuition rate.

- (l) A participating district shall provide opportunities for its students to attend an interdistrict magnet school in a number that is at least equal to the number specified in any written agreement with an interdistrict magnet school operator or in a number that is at least equal to the average number of students that the participating district enrolled in such magnet school during the previous three school years.
- (m) On or before May 15, 2010, and annually thereafter, each interdistrict magnet school operator shall provide written notification to any school district that is otherwise responsible for educating a student who resides in such school district and will be enrolled in an interdistrict magnet school under the operator's control for the following school year. Such notification shall include the number of any such students, by grade, who will be enrolled in an interdistrict magnet school under the control of such operator, the name of the school in which such student has been placed and the amount of tuition to be charged to the local or regional board of education for such student. Such notification shall represent an estimate of the number of students expected to attend such interdistrict magnet schools in the following school year, but shall not be deemed to limit the number of students who may enroll in such interdistrict magnet schools for such year.
- (n) (1) Each interdistrict magnet school operator shall annually file with the Commissioner of Education, at such time and in such manner

as the commissioner prescribes, (A) a financial audit for each interdistrict magnet school operated by such operator, and (B) an aggregate financial audit for all of the interdistrict magnet schools operated by such operator.

- (2) Annually, the commissioner shall randomly select one interdistrict magnet school operated by a regional educational service center to be subject to a comprehensive financial audit conducted by an auditor selected by the commissioner. The regional educational service center shall be responsible for all costs associated with the audit conducted pursuant to the provisions of this subdivision.
- 464 (o) For the school years commencing July 1, 2009, to July 1, 2014, 465 inclusive, any local or regional board of education operating an 466 interdistrict magnet school pursuant to the 2008 stipulation and order 467 for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William O'Neill, et al., as extended, or the 2013 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., 468 469 shall not charge tuition for any student enrolled in a preschool 470 program or in kindergarten to grade twelve, inclusive, in an 471 interdistrict magnet school operated by such school district, except the 472 Hartford school district may charge tuition for any student enrolled in 473 the Great Path Academy.
- 474 Sec. 2. (NEW) (Effective July 1, 2014) (a) For the fiscal year ending 475 June 30, 2015, and each fiscal year thereafter, the Department of 476 Education shall award, within available appropriations, a grant in an 477 amount not to exceed two hundred fifty thousand dollars to the 478 Hartford school district for program development and expansion of 479 the Dr. Joseph S. Renzulli Gifted and Talented Academy to assist the 480 state in meeting the goals of the 2013 stipulation for Milo Sheff, et al. v. 481 William O'Neill, et al. Application for such grant funds awarded 482 pursuant to this section shall be submitted annually to the Commissioner of Education at such time and in such manner as the 483 484 commissioner prescribes.
 - (b) For the school year commencing July 1, 2014, and each school year thereafter, any student who is not a resident of the Hartford

458

459

460

461

462

463

485

school district may apply for enrollment in the Dr. Joseph S. Renzulli Gifted and Talented Academy, provided such student is eligible for enrollment under the school's admissions policies. Any such student enrolled in the Dr. Joseph S. Renzulli Gifted and Talented Academy shall be so enrolled as a participant in the interdistrict public school attendance program pursuant to section 10-266aa of the general statutes.

- (c) Grants awarded under this section shall supplement other grant awards to which the Dr. Joseph S. Renzulli Gifted and Talented Academy is entitled and shall not reduce such academy's eligibility for any other grant that such academy may be entitled to receive.
- Sec. 3. (NEW) (*Effective July 1, 2014*) (a) For purposes of this section, "Sheff Lighthouse School" has the same meaning as "Lighthouse Schools", as defined in the 2013 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al.
- (b) For the fiscal years ending June 30, 2015, to June 30, 2018, inclusive, the Department of Education shall award, within available appropriations, an annual grant, in an amount of seven hundred fifty thousand dollars, to the Hartford school district to assist in the development of curricula and the training of staff for the conversion of a neighborhood school to a Sheff Lighthouse School.
 - (c) Any school identified for conversion to a Sheff Lighthouse School shall be so identified through a collaborative process that has been approved by the Hartford board of education and the Commissioner of Education.
 - (d) For the school year commencing July 1, 2014, and each school year thereafter, any student who is not a resident of the Hartford school district may apply for enrollment in a Sheff Lighthouse School. Any such student enrolled in a Sheff Lighthouse School shall be so enrolled as a participant in the interdistrict public school attendance program pursuant to section 10-266aa of the general statutes.

Sec. 4. Subsection (a) of section 10-264i of the 2014 supplement to the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective July 1, 2014*):

- (a) (1) (A) A local or regional board of education, (B) a regional educational service center, (C) the Board of Trustees of the Community-Technical Colleges on behalf of Quinebaug Valley Community College and Three Rivers Community College, (D) a cooperative arrangement pursuant to section 10-158a, or (E) to assist the state in meeting the goals of the 2008 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as extended, or the goals of the 2013 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as determined by the Commissioner of Education, (i) the Board of Trustees of the Community-Technical Colleges on behalf of a regional community-technical college, (ii) the Board of Trustees of the Connecticut State University System on behalf of a state university, (iii) the Board of Trustees for The University of Connecticut on behalf of the university, (iv) the board of governors for an independent college or university, as defined in section 10a-37, or the equivalent of such a board, on behalf of the independent college or university, and (v) any third-party not-for-profit corporation approved by the commissioner which transports a child to an interdistrict magnet school program, as defined in section 10-264l, as amended by this act, in a town other than the town in which the child resides shall be eligible pursuant to section 10-264e to receive a grant for the cost of transporting such child in accordance with this section.
 - (2) Except as provided in subdivisions (3) and (4) of this subsection, the amount of such grant shall not exceed an amount equal to the number of such children transported multiplied by one thousand three hundred dollars.
 - (3) For districts assisting the state in meeting the goals of the 2008 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as extended, or the goals of the 2013 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as determined by the

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

commissioner, (i) for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2010, the amount of such grant shall not exceed an amount equal to the number of such children transported multiplied by one thousand four hundred dollars, and (ii) for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2011, to June 30, 2015, inclusive, the amount of such grant shall not exceed an amount equal to the number of such children transported multiplied by two thousand dollars.

(4) In addition to the grants otherwise provided pursuant to this section, the Commissioner of Education may provide supplemental transportation grants to regional educational service centers for the purposes of transportation to interdistrict magnet schools. Any such grant shall be provided within available appropriations and after the commissioner has reviewed and approved the total interdistrict magnet school transportation budget for a regional educational service center, including all revenue and expenditure estimates. For the fiscal year ending June 30, 2010, in addition to the grants otherwise provided pursuant to this section, the Commissioner of Education, with the approval of the Secretary of the Office of Policy and Management, may provide supplemental transportation grants to the Hartford school district and the Capitol Region Education Council for the purposes of transportation of students who are not residents of Hartford to interdistrict magnet schools operated by the Capitol Region Education Council or the Hartford school district. For the fiscal year ending June 30, 2012, in addition to the grants otherwise provided pursuant to this section, the Commissioner of Education may provide supplemental transportation grants to regional educational service centers for the purposes of transportation to interdistrict magnet schools that assist the state in meeting the goals of the 2008 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al. Any such grant shall be provided within available appropriations and upon a comprehensive financial review of all transportation activities as prescribed by the commissioner. The commissioner may require the regional educational service center to provide an independent financial review, by an auditor selected by the Commissioner of Education, the costs of which may be paid from funds that are part of the supplemental

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

transportation grant. Any such grant shall be paid as follows: Up to fifty per cent of the grant on or before June 30, 2012, and the balance on or before September 1, 2012, upon completion of the comprehensive financial review. For the fiscal [year] years ending June 30, 2013, to June 30, 2015, inclusive, in addition to the grants otherwise provided pursuant to this section, the Commissioner of Education may provide supplemental transportation to interdistrict magnet schools that assist the state in meeting the goals of the 2008 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William O'Neill, et al., as extended, or the goals of the 2013 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al. and for transportation provided by EASTCONN to interdistrict magnet schools. Any such grant shall be provided within available appropriations and upon a comprehensive financial review, by an auditor selected by the Commissioner of Education, the costs of such review may be paid from funds that are part of the supplemental transportation grant. Any such grant shall be paid as follows: [Up] For the fiscal year ending June 30, 2013, up to fifty per cent of the grant on or before June 30, 2013, and the balance on or before September 1, 2013, upon completion of the comprehensive financial review; for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2014, up to fifty per cent of the grant on or before June 30, 2014, and the balance on or before September 1, 2014, upon completion of the comprehensive financial review; and for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2015, up to fifty per cent of the grant on or before June 30, 2015, and the balance on or before September 1, 2015, upon completion of the comprehensive financial review.

(5) The Department of Education shall provide such grants within available appropriations. Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to prevent a local or regional board of education, regional educational service center or cooperative arrangement from receiving reimbursement under section 10-266m, as amended by this act, for reasonable transportation expenses for which such board, service center or cooperative arrangement is not reimbursed pursuant to this section.

Sec. 5. Subsection (a) of section 10-264h of the 2014 supplement to

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective July 1, 2014*):

622 (a) For the fiscal year ending June 30, 2012, and each fiscal year 623 thereafter, a local or regional board of education, a regional 624 educational service center, a cooperative arrangement pursuant to 625 section 10-158a, or any of the following entities that operate an 626 interdistrict magnet school that assists the state in meeting the goals of 627 the 2008 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. 628 O'Neill, et al., as extended, or the goals of the 2013 stipulation and 629 order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as determined 630 by the Commissioner of Education: (1) The Board of Trustees of the 631 Community-Technical Colleges on behalf of a regional community-632 technical college, (2) the Board of Trustees of the Connecticut State 633 University System on behalf of a state university, (3) the Board of 634 Trustees for The University of Connecticut on behalf of the university, 635 (4) the board of governors for an independent college or university, as 636 defined in section 10a-37, or the equivalent of such a board, on behalf 637 of the independent college or university, and (5) any other third-party 638 not-for-profit corporation approved by the Commissioner of 639 Education, may be eligible for reimbursement, except as otherwise 640 provided for, up to eighty per cent of the eligible cost of any capital 641 expenditure for the purchase, construction, extension, replacement, 642 leasing or major alteration of interdistrict magnet school facilities, 643 including any expenditure for the purchase of equipment, in 644 accordance with this section. To be eligible for reimbursement under 645 this section a magnet school construction project shall meet the 646 requirements for a school building project established in chapter 173, except that the Commissioner of Administrative Services, in 647 648 consultation with the Commissioner of Education, may waive any 649 requirement in said chapter for good cause. On and after July 1, 2011, 650 the Commissioner of Administrative Services shall approve only 651 applications for reimbursement under this section that the 652 Commissioner of Education finds will reduce racial, ethnic and 653 economic isolation. Applications for reimbursement under this section 654 for the construction of new interdistrict magnet schools shall not be

655 accepted until the Commissioner of Education develops 656 comprehensive state-wide interdistrict magnet school plan, 657 accordance with the provisions of subdivision (1) of subsection (b) of 658 section 10-264l, unless the Commissioner of Education determines that 659 such construction will assist the state in meeting the goals of the 2008 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., 660 661 as extended, or the goals of the 2013 stipulation and order for Milo 662 Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al.

- Sec. 6. Section 10-2640 of the 2014 supplement to the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective July* 1, 2014):
- 666 (a) Notwithstanding any provision of this chapter, interdistrict 667 magnet schools that begin operations on or after July 1, 2008, pursuant 668 to the 2008 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as extended, or the 2013 stipulation and order for Milo 669 670 Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as determined by the 671 Commissioner of Education, may operate without district participation 672 agreements and enroll students from any district through a lottery 673 designated by the commissioner.
- 674 (b) For the fiscal year ending June 30, 2013, and each fiscal year 675 thereafter, any tuition charged to a local or regional board of education 676 by a regional educational service center operating an interdistrict 677 magnet school [that began operations on or after July 1, 2008, pursuant 678 to] assisting the state in meeting the goals of the 2008 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as extended, or 679 680 the goals of the 2013 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as determined by the Commissioner of 681 682 Education, for any student enrolled in kindergarten to grade twelve, 683 inclusive, in such interdistrict magnet school shall be in an amount 684 equal to the difference between (1) the average per pupil expenditure 685 of the magnet school for the prior fiscal year, and (2) the amount of any 686 per pupil state subsidy calculated under subsection (c) of section 10-687 264l plus any revenue from other sources calculated on a per pupil

basis. If any such board of education fails to pay such tuition, the commissioner may withhold from such board's town or towns a sum payable under section 10-262i, as amended by this act, in an amount not to exceed the amount of the unpaid tuition to the magnet school and pay such money to the fiscal agent for the magnet school as a supplementary grant for the operation of the interdistrict magnet school program. In no case shall the sum of such tuitions exceed the difference between (A) the total expenditures of the magnet school for the prior fiscal year, and (B) the total per pupil state subsidy calculated under subsection (c) of section 10-264l plus any revenue from other sources. The commissioner may conduct a comprehensive review of the operating budget of a magnet school to verify such tuition rate.

- (c) (1) For the fiscal year ending June 30, 2013, a regional educational service center operating an interdistrict magnet school [that began operations on or after July 1, 2008, pursuant to] assisting the state in meeting the goals of the 2008 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as extended, or the goals of the 2013 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as determined by the Commissioner of Education, and offering a preschool program shall not charge tuition for a child enrolled in such preschool program.
- (2) For the fiscal year ending June 30, 2014, a regional educational service center operating an interdistrict magnet school [that began operations on or after July 1, 2008, pursuant to] assisting the state in meeting the goals of the 2008 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as extended, or the goals of the 2013 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as determined by the Commissioner of Education, and offering a preschool program may charge tuition to the Department of Education for a child enrolled in such preschool program in an amount not to exceed an amount equal to the difference between (A) the average per pupil expenditure of the preschool program offered at the magnet school for the prior fiscal year, and (B) the amount of any per pupil state subsidy calculated under subsection (c) of section 10-264*l* plus

any revenue from other sources calculated on a per pupil basis. The commissioner may conduct a comprehensive review of the operating budget of any such magnet school charging such tuition to verify such tuition rate.

- (3) For the fiscal year ending June 30, 2015, and each fiscal year thereafter, a regional educational service center operating an interdistrict magnet school [that began operations on or after July 1, 2008, pursuant to assisting the state in meeting the goals of the 2008 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as extended, or the goals of the 2013 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as determined by the Commissioner of Education, and offering a preschool program may charge tuition to the parent or guardian of a child enrolled in such preschool program in an amount that is in accordance with the sliding tuition scale adopted by the State Board of Education pursuant to section 10-264p. The Department of Education shall be financially responsible for any unpaid portion of the tuition not charged to such parent or guardian under such sliding tuition scale. Such tuition shall not exceed an amount equal to the difference between (A) the average per pupil expenditure of the preschool program offered at the magnet school for the prior fiscal year, and (B) the amount of any per pupil state subsidy calculated under subsection (c) of section 10-264l plus any revenue from other sources calculated on a per pupil basis. The commissioner may conduct a comprehensive review of the operating budget of any such magnet school charging such tuition to verify such tuition rate.
- Sec. 7. Subsection (l) of section 10-66ee of the 2014 supplement to the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective July 1, 2014*):
 - (l) Within available appropriations, the state may provide a grant in an amount not to exceed seventy-five thousand dollars to any newly approved state charter school that assists the state in meeting the goals of the 2008 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A.

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

751

752

753

755 O'Neill, et al., as extended, or the goals of the 2013 stipulation and

- 756 <u>order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al.,</u> as determined
- 757 by the Commissioner of Education, for start-up costs associated with
- 758 the new charter school program.
- 759 Sec. 8. Section 10-262s of the general statutes is repealed and the
- 760 following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective July 1, 2014*):
- 761 The Commissioner of Education may, to assist the state in meeting
- 762 the goals of the 2008 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v.
- 763 William A. O'Neill, et al., as extended, or the goals of the 2013
- stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al.,
- 765 transfer funds appropriated for the Sheff settlement to the following:
- 766 (1) Grants for interdistrict cooperative programs pursuant to section
- 767 10-74d, (2) grants for state charter schools pursuant to section 10-66ee,
- 768 (3) grants for the interdistrict public school attendance program
- 769 pursuant to section 10-266aa, (4) grants for interdistrict magnet schools
- pursuant to section 10-264l, and (5) to technical high schools for
- 771 programming.
- Sec. 9. Subdivision (5) of subsection (a) of section 10-266m of the
- general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu
- 774 thereof (*Effective July 1, 2014*):
- 775 (5) Notwithstanding the provisions of this section, the
- 776 Commissioner of Education may provide grants, within available
- appropriations, in an amount not to exceed two thousand dollars per
- 778 pupil, to local and regional boards of education and regional
- educational service centers that transport (A) out-of-district students to
- 780 technical high schools located in Hartford, or (B) Hartford students
- attending a technical high school or a regional agricultural science and
- technology education center outside of the district, to assist the state in
- meeting the goals of the 2008 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al.
- v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as extended, or the goals of the 2013
- 785 <u>stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al.,</u>
- as determined by the commissioner, for the costs associated with such
- 787 transportation.

Sec. 10. Subsection (o) of section 10-266aa of the 2014 supplement to the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective July 1, 2014*):

- (o) Within available appropriations, the commissioner may make grants for academic student support for programs pursuant to this section that assist the state in meeting the goals of the 2008 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as extended, or the goals of the 2013 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as determined by the commissioner.
- Sec. 11. Section 10-283 of the 2014 supplement to the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective July* 1, 2014):
 - (a) (1) Each town or regional school district shall be eligible to apply for and accept grants for a school building project as provided in this chapter. Any town desiring a grant for a public school building project may, by vote of its legislative body, authorize the board of education of such town to apply to the Commissioner of Education and to accept or reject such grant for the town. Any regional school board may vote to authorize the supervising agent of the regional school district to apply to the Commissioner of Education for and to accept or reject such grant for the district. Applications for such grants under this chapter shall be made by the superintendent of schools of such town or regional school district on the form provided and in the manner prescribed by the Commissioner of Administrative Services. The application form shall require the superintendent of schools to affirm that the school district considered the maximization of natural light, the use and feasibility of wireless connectivity technology and, on and after July 1, 2014, the school safety infrastructure standards, developed by the School Safety Infrastructure Council, pursuant to section 10-292r, in projects for new construction and alteration or renovation of a school building. The Commissioner of Education shall review each grant application for a school building project for compliance with educational requirements and on the basis of categories for building projects established by the

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

800

801

802

803 804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

813

814

815

816

817

818

819

821 State Board of Education in accordance with this section, and shall 822 evaluate, if appropriate, whether the project will assist the state in 823 meeting the goals of the 2008 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. 824 v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as extended, or the goals of the 2013 825 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., 826 provided grant applications submitted for purposes of subsection (a) 827 of section 10-65 or section 10-76e shall be reviewed annually by the 828 commissioner on the basis of the educational needs of the applicant. 829 The Commissioner of Education shall forward each application and 830 the category that the Commissioner of Education has assigned to each 831 such project in accordance with subdivision (2) of this subsection to the 832 Commissioner of Administrative Services not later than August thirty-833 first of each fiscal year. The Commissioner of Administrative Services 834 shall review each grant application for a school building project for 835 compliance with standards for school building projects pursuant to 836 regulations, adopted in accordance with section 10-287c, and, on and 837 after July 1, 2014, the school safety infrastructure standards, developed 838 by the School Safety Infrastructure Council pursuant to section 10-292r. 839 Notwithstanding the provisions of this chapter, the Board of Trustees 840 of the Community-Technical Colleges on behalf of Quinebaug Valley 841 Community College and Three Rivers Community College and the 842 following entities that will operate an interdistrict magnet school that 843 will assist the state in meeting the goals of the 2008 stipulation and 844 order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as extended, or 845 the goals of the 2013 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. 846 William A. O'Neill, et al., as determined by the Commissioner of 847 Education, may apply for and shall be eligible to receive grants for 848 school building projects pursuant to section 10-264h for such a school: 849 (A) The Board of Trustees of the Community-Technical Colleges on 850 behalf of a regional community-technical college, (B) the Board of 851 Trustees of the Connecticut State University System on behalf of a state 852 university, (C) the Board of Trustees for The University of Connecticut 853 on behalf of the university, (D) the board of governors for an 854 independent college or university, as defined in section 10a-37, or the 855 equivalent of such a board, on behalf of the independent college or

university, (E) cooperative arrangements pursuant to section 10-158a, and (F) any other third-party not-for-profit corporation approved by the Commissioner of Education.

(2) The Commissioner of Education shall assign each school building project to a category on the basis of whether such project is primarily required to: (A) Create new facilities or alter existing facilities to provide for mandatory instructional programs pursuant to this chapter, for physical education facilities in compliance with Title IX of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1972 where such programs or such compliance cannot be provided within existing facilities or for the correction of code violations which cannot be reasonably addressed within existing program space; (B) create new facilities or alter existing facilities to enhance mandatory instructional programs pursuant to this chapter or provide comparable facilities among schools to all students at the same grade level or levels within the school district unless such project is otherwise explicitly included in another category pursuant to this section; and (C) create new facilities or alter existing facilities to provide supportive services, provided in no event shall such supportive services include swimming auditoriums, outdoor athletic facilities, tennis courts, elementary school playgrounds, site improvement or garages or storage, parking or general recreation areas. All applications submitted prior to July first shall be reviewed promptly by the Commissioner of Education, who shall forward such application to the Commissioner of Administrative Services. The Commissioner of Administrative Services shall estimate the amount of the grant for which such project is eligible, in accordance with the provisions of section 10-285a, provided an application for a school building project determined by the Commissioner of Education to be a project that will assist the state in meeting the goals of the 2008 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as extended, or the goals of the 2013 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., shall have until September first to submit an application for such a project and may have until December first of the same year to secure and report all local and state approvals required to complete the grant

856

857

858

859

860

861

862

863

864

865

866

867

868

869

870

871

872

873

874

875

876

877

878

879

880

881

882

883

884

885

886

887

888

889

application. The Commissioner of Administrative Services shall annually prepare a listing of all such eligible school building projects listed by category together with the amount of the estimated grants for such projects and shall submit the same to the Governor, the Secretary of the Office of Policy and Management and the General Assembly on or before the fifteenth day of December, except as provided in section 10-283a, with a request for authorization to enter into grant commitments. On or before December thirty-first annually, the Secretary of the Office of Policy and Management shall submit comments and recommendations regarding each eligible project on such listing of eligible school building projects to the school construction committee, established pursuant to section 10-283a. Each such listing submitted after December 15, 2005, until December 15, 2010, inclusive, shall include a separate schedule of authorized projects which have changed in scope or cost to a degree determined by the Commissioner of Education once, and a separate schedule of authorized projects which have changed in scope or cost to a degree determined by said commissioner twice. Any such listing submitted after December 15, 2010, until December 15, 2011, inclusive, shall include a separate schedule of authorized projects which have changed in scope or cost to a degree determined by the Commissioner of Administrative Services once, and a separate schedule of authorized projects which have changed in scope or cost to a degree determined by said commissioner twice. On and after July 1, 2011, each such listing shall include a report on the review conducted by the Commissioner of Education of the enrollment projections for each such eligible project. For the period beginning July 1, 2006, and ending June 30, 2012, no project, other than a project for a technical high school, may appear on the separate schedule of authorized projects which have changed in cost more than twice. On and after July 1, 2012, no project, other than a project for a technical high school, may appear on the separate schedule of authorized projects which have changed in cost more than once, except the Commissioner of Administrative Services may allow a project to appear on such separate schedule of authorized projects a second time if the town or regional school district for such project can

891

892

893

894

895

896

897

898

899

900

901

902

903

904

905

906

907

908

909

910

911 912

913

914

915

916

917

918

919

920

921

922

923

924

demonstrate that exigent circumstances require such project to appear a second time on such separate schedule of authorized projects. Notwithstanding any provision of this chapter, no projects which have changed in scope or cost to the degree determined by the Commissioner of Administrative Services, in consultation with the Commissioner of Education, shall be eligible for reimbursement under this chapter unless it appears on such list. The percentage determined pursuant to section 10-285a at the time a school building project on such schedule was originally authorized shall be used for purposes of the grant for such project. On and after July 1, 2006, a project that was not previously authorized as an interdistrict magnet school shall not receive a higher percentage for reimbursement than that determined pursuant to section 10-285a at the time a school building project on such schedule was originally authorized. The General Assembly shall annually authorize the Commissioner of Administrative Services to enter into grant commitments on behalf of the state in accordance with the commissioner's categorized listing for such projects as the General Assembly shall determine. The Commissioner of Administrative Services may not enter into any such grant commitments except pursuant to such legislative authorization. Any regional school district which assumes the responsibility for completion of a public school building project shall be eligible for a grant pursuant to subdivision (5) or (6), as the case may be, of subsection (a) of section 10-286 when such project is completed and accepted by such regional school district.

(3) (A) All final calculations completed by the Department of Administrative Services for school building projects shall include a computation of the state grant for the school building project amortized on a straight line basis over a twenty-year period for school building projects with costs equal to or greater than two million dollars and over a ten-year period for school building projects with costs less than two million dollars. Any town or regional school district which abandons, sells, leases, demolishes or otherwise redirects the use of such a school building project to other than a public school use during such amortization period shall refund to the state the unamortized balance of the state grant remaining as of the date the abandonment,

926

927

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

943

944

945

946 947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

sale, lease, demolition or redirection occurs. The amortization period for a project shall begin on the date the project was accepted as complete by the local or regional board of education. A town or regional school district required to make a refund to the state pursuant to this subdivision may request forgiveness of such refund if the building is redirected for public use. The Department of Administrative Services shall include as an addendum to the annual school construction priority list all those towns requesting forgiveness. General Assembly approval of the priority list containing such request shall constitute approval of such request. This subdivision shall not apply to projects to correct safety, health and other code violations or to remedy certified school indoor air quality emergencies approved pursuant to subsection (b) of this section or projects subject to the provisions of section 10-285c.

- (B) Any moneys refunded to the state pursuant to subparagraph (A) of this subdivision shall be deposited in the state's tax-exempt proceeds fund and used not later than sixty days after repayment to pay debt service on, including redemption, defeasance or purchase of, outstanding bonds of the state the interest on which is not included in gross income pursuant to Section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, or any subsequent corresponding internal revenue code of the United States, as from time to time amended.
- (b) Notwithstanding the application date requirements of this section, the Commissioner of Administrative Services, in consultation with the Commissioner of Education, may approve applications for grants to assist school building projects to remedy damage from fire and catastrophe, to correct safety, health and other code violations, to replace roofs, to remedy a certified school indoor air quality emergency, or to purchase and install portable classroom buildings at any time within the limit of available grant authorization and make payments thereon within the limit of appropriated funds, provided portable classroom building projects shall not create a new facility or cause an existing facility to be modified so that the portable buildings comprise a substantial percentage of the total facility area, as

determined by the commissioner.

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1021

1024

1025

1026

(c) No school building project shall be added to the list prepared by the Commissioner of Administrative Services pursuant to subsection (a) of this section after such list is submitted to the committee of the General Assembly appointed pursuant to section 10-283a unless (1) the project is for a school placed on probation by the New England Association of Schools and Colleges and the project is necessary to preserve accreditation, (2) the project is necessary to replace a school building for which a state agency issued a written notice of its intent to take the school property for public purpose, (3) it is a school building project determined by the Commissioner of Education to be a project that will assist the state in meeting the goals of the 2008 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as extended, or the goals of the 2013 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al. The provisions of this subsection shall not apply to projects previously authorized by the General Assembly that require special legislation to correct procedural deficiencies.

- (d) No application for a school building project shall be accepted by the Commissioner of Education on or after July 1, 2002, unless the applicant has secured funding authorization for the local share of the project costs prior to application. The reimbursement percentage for a project covered by this subsection shall reflect the rates in effect during the fiscal year in which such local funding authorization is secured.
- 1018 Sec. 12. Subsection (h) of section 13 of public act 13-239 is amended 1019 to read as follows (*Effective July 1, 2014*):
- 1020 (h) For the Department of Education:
- Grants-in-aid for capital start-up costs related to 1022 development of new interdistrict magnet school programs to assist the 1023 state in meeting the goals of the 2008 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as extended, or the goals of the 2013 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et. al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., for the purpose of purchasing a building or portable classrooms,

subject to the reversion provisions in subdivision (1) of subsection (c) of section 10-264h of the general statutes, leasing space, and purchasing equipment, including, but not limited to, computers and classroom furniture, not exceeding \$17,000,000;

- (2) Grants-in-aid to municipalities and organizations exempt from taxation under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, or any subsequent corresponding internal revenue code of the United States, as amended from time to time, for facility improvements and minor capital repairs to that portion of facilities that house school readiness programs and state-funded day care centers operated by such municipalities and organizations, not exceeding \$11,500,000;
- (3) Grants-in-aid to local or regional boards of education for capital costs related to the expansion of enrollment in the state-wide interdistrict public school attendance program pursuant to section 10-266aa of the general statutes, to assist the state in meeting the goals of the 2008 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as extended, or the goals of the 2013 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et. al., for building renovations, classroom expansions and the purchase of equipment, including, but not limited to, computers, laboratory equipment and classroom furniture, not exceeding \$750,000.
- Sec. 13. (*Effective from passage*) Notwithstanding the provisions of subdivision (1) of section 1 of public act 13-243 and section 10-264h of the general statutes or any regulation adopted by the State Board of Education or the Departments of Construction Services or Administrative Services concerning the reimbursement rate for the construction of interdistrict magnet schools, the Capitol Region Education Council may use ninety-five per cent as the reimbursement rate for the new interdistrict magnet facility construction and purchase of site project (Project Number 241-0102 MAG/N/PS) at the Greater Hartford Academy of the Arts Elementary Magnet School.
- Sec. 14. (*Effective from passage*) Notwithstanding the provisions of subdivision (1) of section 1 of public act 13-243 and section 10-264h of

1060 the general statutes or any regulation adopted by the State Board of 1061 the Departments of Construction Services Education or 1062 Administrative Services concerning the reimbursement rate for the 1063 construction of interdistrict magnet schools, the Capitol Region 1064 Education Council may use ninety-five per cent as the reimbursement 1065 rate for the new interdistrict magnet facility construction and purchase 1066 of site project (Project Number 241-0103 MAG/N/PS) at the Greater 1067 Hartford Academy of the Arts Middle Magnet School.

1068 Sec. 15. (Effective from passage) Notwithstanding the provisions of 1069 subdivision (1) of section 1 of public act 13-243 and section 10-264h of 1070 the general statutes or any regulation adopted by the State Board of 1071 Education or the Departments of Construction Services 1072 Administrative Services concerning the reimbursement rate for the 1073 construction of interdistrict magnet schools, the Capitol Region 1074 Education Council may use ninety-five per cent as the reimbursement 1075 rate for the new interdistrict magnet facility construction and purchase 1076 of site project (Project Number 241-0104 MAG/N/PS) at the Two 1077 Rivers Magnet High School.

Sec. 16. Section 96 of public act 11-57 is amended to read as follows (*Effective July 1, 2014*):

Notwithstanding the provisions of section 10-287i of the general statutes or any regulation adopted by the State Board of Education requiring payment of the state share of eligible project costs and filing notice of authorization of funding for the local share of project costs, the Commissioner of Education may pay both the state share of eligible project costs and the local share of eligible project costs to the Capitol Region Education Council for the following interdistrict magnet school building projects: (1) Reggio Magnet School of the Arts (Project Number 241-0095 MAG/N), (2) International Magnet School for Global Citizenship (Project Number 241-0098 MAG/N), (3) Public Safety Academy (Project Number 241-0097 MAG/N), (4) Medical Professions and Teacher Preparation Academy (Project Number 241-0099 MAG/N), (5) Academy of Aerospace (Project Number 241-0099

1080

1081

1082

1083

10841085

1086

1087

1088

1089

1090

1091

1093 MAG/N), (6) Discovery Academy (Project Number 241-0100 1094 MAG/N), [and] (7) Museum Academy (Project Number 241-0101 1095 MAG/N), (8) Greater Hartford Academy of the Arts Elementary 1096 Magnet School, (Project Number 241-0102 MAG/N/PS), (9) Greater 1097 Hartford Academy of the Arts Middle School (Project Number 241-1098 0103 MAG/N/PS), and (10) Two Rivers Magnet High School (Project 1099 Number 241-0104 MAG/N/PS), provided the project is in compliance 1100 with the provisions of chapter 173 of the general statutes and any 1101 regulation adopted by the State Board of Education. Upon completion 1102 of each project audit conducted pursuant to section 10-287 of the 1103 general statutes, the Department of Construction Services shall (A) 1104 compute the local share of the project cost in accordance with the provisions of chapter 173 of the general statutes, (B) determine a 1105 1106 repayment schedule of the local share based on twenty equal annual 1107 principal payments, (C) apply a fixed rate of interest, as determined by 1108 the State Treasurer, over the life of the repayment period, and (D) 1109 determine a schedule of interest payments due from the Capitol 1110 Region Education Council based on the outstanding principal at the 1111 time the principal payment is made. The Commissioner of 1112 Construction Services shall notify the Commissioner of Education of 1113 the annualized repayment amounts for each project that shall be 1114 withheld from the operating grant paid to the Capitol Region 1115 Education Council pursuant to section 10-264l of the general statutes at 1116 such time and in such manner as the Commissioner of Education 1117 prescribes. The Commissioner of Education shall annually transfer 1118 such withheld annualized repayment amounts to the School Building 1119 Construction Fund established pursuant to section 10-287e of the 1120 general statutes.

- Sec. 17. Subdivision (1) of subsection (g) of section 32 of public act 13-239 is amended to read as follows (*Effective July 1, 2014*):
- 1123 (g) For the Department of Education:
- 1124 (1) Grants-in-aid for capital start-up costs related to the 1125 development of new interdistrict magnet school programs to assist the

state in meeting the goals of the 2008 stipulation and order for Milo

- Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et al., as extended, or the goals of the
- 1128 <u>2013 stipulation and order for Milo Sheff, et al. v. William A. O'Neill, et</u>
- 1129 <u>al.</u>, for the purpose of purchasing a building or portable classrooms,
- subject to the reversion provisions in subdivision (1) of subsection (c)
- 1131 of section 10-264h of the general statutes, leasing space, and
- 1132 purchasing equipment, including, but not limited to, computers and
- classroom furniture, not exceeding \$7,500,000;
- 1134 Sec. 18. (Effective from passage) Notwithstanding the provisions of
- section 19 of public act 13-239, grants-in-aid for capital start-up costs
- 1136 paid to the Capitol Region Education Council, in accordance with
- subdivision (1) of subsection (h) of section 13 of public act 13-239, as
- amended by this act, and used pursuant to said subsection (h) shall not
- be subject to lien or repayment.
- 1140 Sec. 19. (Effective from passage) Notwithstanding the provisions of
- section 38 of public act 13-239, grants-in-aid for capital start-up costs
- 1142 paid to the Capitol Region Education Council, in accordance with
- subdivision (1) of subsection (g) of section 32 of public act 13-239, as
- amended by this act, and used pursuant to said subsection (g) shall not
- be subject to lien or repayment.
- Sec. 20. Subdivision (4) of subsection (a) of section 10-266m of the
- 1147 general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu
- 1148 thereof (*Effective from passage*):
- 1149 (4) Notwithstanding the provisions of this section, for the fiscal
- 1150 years ending June 30, 2004, to June 30, [2013] 2015, inclusive, the
- amount of transportation grants payable to local or regional boards of
- education shall be reduced proportionately if the total of such grants in
- such year exceeds the amount appropriated for such grants for such
- 1154 year.
- Sec. 21. Subsections (f) and (g) of section 10-266p of the 2014
- supplement to the general statutes are repealed and the following is
- substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective from passage*):

(f) In addition to the amounts allocated in subsection (a), and subsections (c) to (e), inclusive, of this section, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2006, the State Board of Education shall allocate two million thirty-nine thousand six hundred eighty-six dollars to the towns that rank one to three, inclusive, in population pursuant to subdivision (1) of said subsection (a), and for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2007, to June 30, [2013] 2015, the State Board of Education shall allocate two million six hundred ten thousand seven hundred ninety-eight dollars to the towns that rank one to three, inclusive, in population pursuant to subdivision (1) of said subsection (a).

- (g) In addition to the amounts allocated in subsection (a) and subsections (c) to (f), inclusive, of this section, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2012, [and each fiscal year thereafter,] the State Board of Education shall allocate three million two hundred sixteen thousand nine hundred eight dollars as follows: Each priority school district shall receive an allocation based on the ratio of the amount it is eligible to receive pursuant to subsection (a) and subsections (c) to (f), inclusive, of this section to the total amount all priority school districts are eligible to receive pursuant to said subsection (a) and said subsections (c) to (f), inclusive. For the fiscal year ending June 30, [2013] 2014, the State Board of Education shall allocate [two million nine hundred twenty-nine thousand three hundred sixty-four dollars two million nine hundred twenty-five thousand four hundred eightyone dollars as follows: Each priority school district shall receive an allocation based on the ratio of the amount it is eligible to receive pursuant to subsection (a) of this section and subsections (c) to (f), inclusive, of this section to the total amount all priority school districts are eligible to receive pursuant to subsection (a) of this section and subsections (c) to (f), inclusive, of this section.
- Sec. 22. Subdivision (20) of section 10-262f of the 2014 supplement to the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective from passage*):
- 1190 (20) "Regular program expenditures" means (A) total current

1158

1159

1160

1161

1162

1163

1164

1165

1166

1167

1168

1169

1170

1171

1172

1173

1174

1175

1176

1177

1178

1179

1180

1181

1182

1183

1184

1185

educational expenditures less (B) expenditures for (i) special education programs pursuant to subsection (h) of section 10-76f, (ii) pupil transportation eligible for reimbursement pursuant to section 10-266m, as amended by this act, (iii) land and capital building expenditures, and equipment otherwise supported by a state grant pursuant to chapter 173, including debt service, [(iii)] (iv) health services for nonpublic school children, [(iv)] (v) adult education, (C) expenditures directly attributable to (i) state grants received by or on behalf of school districts except grants for the categories of expenditures listed in subparagraphs (B)(i) to (B)(iv), inclusive, of this subdivision and except grants received pursuant to section 10-262i and section 10-262c of the general statutes, revision of 1958, revised to January 1, 1987, and except grants received pursuant to chapter 173, (ii) federal grants received by or on behalf of school districts except for adult education and federal impact aid, and (iii) receipts from the operation of child nutrition services and student activities services, (D) expenditures of funds from private and other sources, and (E) tuition received on account of nonresident students. The town of Woodstock may include as part of the current expenses of its public schools for each school year the amount expended for current expenses in that year by Woodstock Academy from income from its endowment funds upon receipt from said academy of a certified statement of such current expenses. The town of Winchester may include as part of the current expenses of its public school for each school year the amount expended for current expenses in that year by the Gilbert School from income from its endowment funds upon receipt from said school of a certified statement of such current expenses.

Sec. 23. Subdivision (43) of section 10-262f of the 2014 supplement to the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective from passage*):

(43) "Median household income adjustment factor" means the ratio of the median household income of the town to one and one-half times the median household income of the town with the median household income when all towns are ranked according to median household

1191

1192

1193

1194

1195

1196

1197

1198

1199

1200

1201

1202

1203

1204

1205

1206

1207

1208

1209

1210

1211

1212

1213

1214

1215

1216

1217

1221

1222

1223

1224

1225 income.

1229

1230

1231

1232

1233

1234

1235

1251

1252

1253

1254

1255

1256

1257

Sec. 24. Subsections (b) to (d), inclusive, of section 10-66ee of the 2014 supplement to the general statutes are repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective from passage*):

- (b) (1) The local board of education of the school district in which a student enrolled in a local charter school resides shall pay, annually, in accordance with its charter, to the fiscal authority for the charter school for each such student the amount specified in its charter, including the reasonable special education costs of students requiring special education. The board of education shall be eligible for reimbursement for such special education costs pursuant to section 10-76g.
- 1236 (2) The local or regional board of education of the school district in 1237 which the local charter school is located shall be responsible for the 1238 financial support of such local charter school at a level that is at least 1239 equal to the product of (A) the per pupil cost for the [prior fiscal year, 1240 less the reimbursement pursuant to section 10-76g for the current fiscal year] fiscal year two years prior to the fiscal year for which support 1241 1242 will be provided, and (B) the number of students attending such local 1243 charter school in the current fiscal year. As used in this subdivision, 1244 "per pupil cost" means, for a local or regional board of education, the 1245 quotient of the [net current expenditures] current program 1246 expenditures, as defined in [subdivision (3) of section 10-261] section 1247 10-262f, as amended by this act, divided by the [average daily 1248 membership, as defined in subdivision (2) of section 10-261, number 1249 of resident students, as defined in section 10-262f, as amended by this 1250 act, of such local or regional board of education.
 - (c) (1) For the fiscal year ending June 30, 2014, and each fiscal year thereafter, the State Board of Education may approve, within available appropriations, a per student grant to a local charter school described in subsection [(b)] (c) of section [10-66nn] 10-66bb in an amount not to exceed three thousand dollars for each student enrolled in such local charter school, provided the local or regional board of education for such local charter school and the representatives of the exclusive

bargaining unit for certified employees, chosen pursuant to section 10-1259 153b, mutually agree on staffing flexibility in such local charter school, 1260 and such agreement is approved by the State Board of Education. [For the purposes of equalization aid grants pursuant to section 10-262h, 1262 the] The state shall make such payments, in accordance with this 1263 subsection, to the town in which a local charter school is located as 1264 follows: Twenty-five per cent of the amount not later than July 1265 fifteenth and September first based on estimated student enrollment 1266 on May first, and twenty-five per cent of the amount not later than 1267 January first and the remaining amount not later than April [fifteenth] 1268 first, each based on student enrollment on October first.

- (2) The town shall pay to the fiscal authority for a local charter school the portion of the amount paid to the town pursuant to subdivision (1) of this subsection attributable for students enrolled in such local charter school. Such payments shall be made as follows: Twenty-five per cent of the amount not later than July twentieth and September fifteenth and twenty-five per cent of the amount not later than January fifteenth and the remaining amount not later than April fifteenth.
- (d) (1) For the purposes of equalization aid grants pursuant to section 10-262h, the state shall pay in accordance with this subsection, to the town in which a state charter school is located for each student enrolled in such school, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2013, ten thousand two hundred dollars, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2014, ten thousand five hundred dollars, and for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2015, and each fiscal year thereafter, eleven thousand dollars. Such payments shall be made as follows: Twenty-five per cent of the amount not later than July fifteenth and September first based on estimated student enrollment on May first, and twenty-five per cent of the amount not later than January first and the remaining amount not later than April [fifteenth] first, each based on student enrollment on October first. Notwithstanding the provisions of this subdivision, the payment of the remaining amount made not later than April 15, 2013, shall be within available appropriations and may be adjusted for each

1258

1261

1269

1270

1271

1272

1273

1274

1275

1276

1277 1278

1279

1280

1281

1282

1283

1284

1285

1286

1287

1288 1289

1290

1291

1292 student on a pro rata basis.

1301

1302

1303

1304

1305

1306

1307

1308

1309

1310

1311

1312

1313

1314

1315

1316

1320

1321

1322

1323

1324

- 1293 (2) The town shall pay to the fiscal authority for a state charter 1294 school the portion of the amount paid to the town pursuant to 1295 subdivision (1) of this subsection attributable for students enrolled in 1296 such state charter school. Such payments shall be made as follows: 1297 Twenty-five per cent of the amount not later than July twentieth and 1298 September fifteenth and twenty-five per cent of the amount not later 1299 than January fifteenth and the remaining amount not later than April 1300 fifteenth.
 - (3) In the case of a student identified as requiring special education, the school district in which the student resides shall: (A) Hold the planning and placement team meeting for such student and shall invite representatives from the charter school to participate in such meeting; and (B) pay the state charter school, on a quarterly basis, an amount equal to the difference between the reasonable cost of educating such student and the sum of the amount received by the state charter school for such student pursuant to subdivision (2) of this subsection and amounts received from other state, federal, local or private sources calculated on a per pupil basis. Such school district shall be eligible for reimbursement pursuant to section 10-76g. The charter school a student requiring special education attends shall be responsible for ensuring that such student receives the services mandated by the student's individualized education program whether such services are provided by the charter school or by the school district in which the student resides.
- Sec. 25. Subsection (b) of section 10-10c of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective from passage*):
 - (b) For the fiscal year ending June 30, [2015] 2016, and each fiscal year thereafter, each local or regional board of education, regional educational service center and state charter school shall implement such uniform system of accounting by completing and filing annual financial reports with the department using the chart of accounts and

- meet the provisions of section 10-227.
- Sec. 26. Subsection (c) of section 10-262i of the 2014 supplement to
- the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu
- 1328 thereof (*Effective from passage*):
- (c) All aid distributed to a town pursuant to the provisions of this
- section and section 10-262u, as amended by this act, shall be expended
- 1331 for educational purposes only and shall be expended upon the
- authorization of the local or regional board of education and in
- accordance with the provisions of section 10-262u, as amended by this
- 1334 act. For the fiscal year ending June 30, 1999, and each fiscal year
- thereafter, if a town receives an increase in funds pursuant to this
- 1336 section over the amount it received for the prior fiscal year, such
- increase shall not be used to supplant local funding for educational
- 1338 purposes. The budgeted appropriation for education in any town
- receiving an increase in funds pursuant to this section shall be not less
- than the amount appropriated for education for the prior year plus
- 1341 such increase in funds.
- Sec. 27. Subsection (c) of section 10-262u of the 2014 supplement to
- the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu
- 1344 thereof (*Effective from passage*):
- (c) (1) (A) For the fiscal year ending June 30, 2013, the Comptroller
- shall withhold from a town designated as an alliance district any
- increase in funds received over the amount the town received for the
- prior fiscal year pursuant to section 10-262h. The Comptroller shall
- transfer such funds to the Commissioner of Education. (B) For the
- fiscal years ending June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2015, the Comptroller
- shall withhold from a town designated as an alliance district any
- increase in funds received over the amount the town received for the
- 1353 fiscal year ending June 30, 2012, pursuant to subsection (a) of section
- 1354 10-262i. The Comptroller shall transfer such funds to the
- 1355 Commissioner of Education.
- 1356 (2) Upon receipt of an application pursuant to subsection (d) of this

section, the Commissioner of Education may pay such funds to the town designated as an alliance district and such town shall pay <u>all</u> such funds to the local or regional board of education for such town on the condition that such funds shall be expended in accordance with the plan described in subsection (d) of this section, the provisions of <u>subsection (c) of section 10-262i</u>, as amended by this act, and any guidelines developed by the State Board of Education for such funds. Such funds shall be used to improve student achievement in such alliance district and to offset any other local education costs approved by the commissioner.

Sec. 28. Subdivision (2) of subsection (b) of section 10-16q of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective July 1, 2014*):

(2) For the fiscal year ending June 30, [2009] <u>2015</u>, and each fiscal year thereafter, the per child cost of the Department of Education school readiness program offered by a school readiness provider shall not exceed eight thousand [three] <u>six</u> hundred [forty-six] <u>sixty-one</u> dollars.

This act shall take effect as follows and shall amend the following			
sections:			
Section 1	July 1, 2014	10-264 <i>l</i>	
Sec. 2	July 1, 2014	New section	
Sec. 3	July 1, 2014	New section	
Sec. 4	July 1, 2014	10-264i(a)	
Sec. 5	July 1, 2014	10-264h(a)	
Sec. 6	July 1, 2014	10-264o	
Sec. 7	July 1, 2014	10-66ee(l)	
Sec. 8	July 1, 2014	10-262s	
Sec. 9	July 1, 2014	10-266m(a)(5)	
Sec. 10	July 1, 2014	10-266aa(o)	
Sec. 11	July 1, 2014	10-283	
Sec. 12	July 1, 2014	PA 13-239, Sec. 13(h)	
Sec. 13	from passage	New section	
Sec. 14	from passage	New section	
Sec. 15	from passage	New section	

Sec. 16	July 1, 2014	PA 11-57, Sec. 96
Sec. 17	July 1, 2014	PA 13-239, Sec. 32(g)(1)
Sec. 18	from passage	New section
Sec. 19	from passage	New section
Sec. 20	from passage	10-266m(a)(4)
Sec. 21	from passage	10-266p(f) and (g)
Sec. 22	from passage	10-262f(20)
Sec. 23	from passage	10-262f(43)
Sec. 24	from passage	10-66ee(b) to (d)
Sec. 25	from passage	10-10c(b)
Sec. 26	from passage	10-262i(c)
Sec. 27	from passage	10-262u(c)
Sec. 28	July 1, 2014	10-16q(b)(2)

Statement of Legislative Commissioners:

In section 10-66ee(b)(2), made a technical change.

ED Joint Favorable Subst.

The following Fiscal Impact Statement and Bill Analysis are prepared for the benefit of the members of the General Assembly, solely for purposes of information, summarization and explanation and do not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either chamber thereof for any purpose. In general, fiscal impacts are based upon a variety of informational sources, including the analyst's professional knowledge. Whenever applicable, agency data is consulted as part of the analysis, however final products do not necessarily reflect an assessment from any specific department.

OFA Fiscal Note

State Impact: See Below

Municipal Impact: See Below

Explanation

The bill makes various changes to *Sheff* schools, implements provisions of sHB 5030, the FY 15 Revised Budget, as favorably reported by the Appropriations Committee, increases reimbursement rates for School Readiness, and makes other clarifying and technical changes.

Section 1 of the bill provides a revised definition of racial diversity under the interdistrict magnet school law as it applies to *Sheff* magnet schools. This makes it easier to reach the racial goals of *Sheff* because some students who used to count as minority will now count as nonminority. Correspondingly, this could result in an increased cost to the state, and schools that otherwise would not have been eligible for a higher grant, now could be eligible. The annual per pupil grants vary by type of school, and compliance status. **Section 1** also restricts the number of students from a participating district that may enroll in the magnet in order to meet the bill's reduced isolation standard, which, as described above, makes it easier to meet the *Sheff* goals, thus resulting in increased costs to the state.

Additionally, **Section 1** modifies the payment schedule, based on a trimester school year, for the per student magnet school grant paid to Goodwin College for the College Academy magnet school, which will not result in a fiscal impact as the payment schedule does not have a budgetary impact.

Lastly, **Section 1** caps the share of the total magnet school appropriation to the State Department of Education (SDE) that may be retained for evaluation and administration, at \$500,000. This results in a savings as SDE was able to retain additional funding. sHB 5030, the FY 15 Revised Budget, as favorably reported by the Appropriations Committee, included savings of \$1 million associated with this initiative.

Section 2 requires the State Department of Education (SDE) to, within available appropriations, award a grant up to \$250,000 to the Hartford school district for program development and expansion of the Dr. Joseph S. Renzulli Gifted and Talented Academy. sHB 5030, the FY 15 Revised Budget, as favorably reported by the Appropriations Committee, includes funding for this purpose.

Section 3 creates a program for the Hartford School District to receive an annual grant to convert an existing neighborhood school into a *Sheff* Lighthouse School. SDE will award, within available appropriations, an annual grant of \$750,000 to Hartford for FY 15 through FY 18 to assist in the development of curricula and staff training for the *Sheff* Lighthouse. sHB 5030, the FY 15 Revised Budget, as favorably reported by the Appropriations Committee, includes funding for this purpose.

Section 4 makes a procedural change to the payment schedule for supplemental transportation and does not result in a fiscal impact.

Sections 5-12 and 17 make technical changes related to the new *Sheff* stipulation and are not anticipated to result in a fiscal impact.

Sections 13 to 16 result in a cost to the state of \$70.9 million by making changes that authorize SDE to pay for 100% of the reimbursable construction costs for three new Sheff magnet schools. The cost is attributable to changing the current rate of reimbursement for the projects from 80% to 95% and then authorizing the state to pay the local share of the projects. The cost of principal payments totals \$46.5 million with interest totaling \$24.4 million over future years.

Sections 18 and 19 eliminate the local share of eligible project costs for three CREC schools, which reduces the state's accounts receivable by \$24.5 million total. This reduction increases by \$24.5 million the state's accumulated GAAP deficit, which is estimated to be \$618.6 million currently.

Section 20 extends a cap on the state transportation formula grant, through FY 15. If the cap were not extended, the state would be responsible for issuing approximately \$59 million in additional grants to municipalities. Extending the cap results in a savings to the state and a corresponding revenue loss to municipalities. sHB 5030, the FY 15 Revised Budget, as favorably reported by the Appropriations Committee, includes funding of \$24.9 million in the Transportation of School Children grant, which implements this change.

Section 21 ensures that money appropriated for the Priority School District grant, in FY 14 and FY 15 is spent in the appropriate year, this change is clarifying, and does not result in a fiscal impact.

Sections 22 and 23 make technical changes that are not anticipated to result in a fiscal impact.

Section 24 changes the formula for how much funding a local or regional board of education must provide to a local charter school that it sponsors, which results in a change in the internal distribution of funds within a district, and does not result in a fiscal impact.

Section 25 extends the deadline, from June 30, 2015 to June 30, 2016, for each board of education, RESC, and state charter school to implement a uniform system of accounting for school revenues and expenditures that includes a chart of accounts. This delays a potentially significant cost to municipalities, associated with creating a uniform chart of accounts.

Sections 26-27 include non-supplant language regarding alliance district funds. This provision is clarifying, and does not result in a fiscal impact.

Section 28 could result in a cost associated with increasing the maximum per student cost for school readiness spaces from \$8,346 to \$8,661 in FY 15. If the new rate is applied to all current full day, full year spaces, it would result in an additional cost of \$2,128,140.

sHB 5030, the revised FY 15 budget bill, as favorably reported by the Appropriations Committee includes additional funding in the Office of Early Childhood (OEC) of \$2,191,391 for existing full-day, full year school readiness spaces at a rate of \$8,670. It should be noted that school readiness and related funding was transferred from the State Department of Education to OEC in PA 13-184, the FY 14 – FY 15 Biennial Budget, as amended by PA 13-247.

The Out Years

The annualized ongoing fiscal impact identified above would continue into the future subject to inflation.

OLR Bill Analysis sHB 5043

AN ACT IMPLEMENTING THE BUDGET RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE GOVERNOR CONCERNING EDUCATION.

SUMMARY:

This bill provides numerous changes to the education statutes, including:

- 1. implementing numerous provisions of the new *Sheff* desegregation stipulation including (a) authorizing increased state construction reimbursement rates for three new magnet schools, (b) authorizing, within available appropriations, an annual grant of \$750,000 for four years to Hartford for a *Sheff* lighthouse school, and (c) changing the definition of minority student under *Sheff* so Asian and Native American students are no longer considered racial minorities;
- 2. increasing the state school readiness maximum cost reimbursement from \$8,346 to \$8,661 per student;
- 3. capping school transportation grants; and
- 4. delaying for a year, until June 30, 2016, the deadline for school districts to implement a new mandated chart of accounts

A section-by-section analysis follows.

EFFECTIVE DATE: various

§§ 1-19 — SHEFF V. O'NEILL – 2013 STIPULATION

The bill contains numerous provisions intended to carry out the newest phase of *Sheff v. O'Neill*, the ongoing Hartford school desegregation court case. In December 2013, the state and the *Sheff*

plaintiffs reached a new agreement regarding additional efforts to integrate Hartford schools and the agreement was formalized in court as a stipulation and order (officially referred to as the Phase III stipulation).

The bill makes numerous changes to place in statute references to the new *Sheff* stipulation that are conforming and technical with no substantive change.

§ 1 — Revised Definition of Racial Diversity

The bill provides a revised definition of racial diversity under the interdistrict magnet school law as it applies to *Sheff* magnets schools. Current law requires a magnet school to have at least 25% but no more than 75% minority students, and racial minorities are defined as those whose (1) race is other than white or (2) ethnicity is defined as Hispanic or Latino by the U.S. Census. The bill adds that, for *Sheff* magnets, the enrollment must meet the reduced isolation setting standards of the 2013 stipulation, which means no more than 75% of the students can identify themselves as any part Black/African American or any part Hispanic. Thus, for purposes of *Sheff* magnets, Asians, Alaskan Natives, Native Americans, Native Hawaiians or other Pacific Islanders will not be counted as minorities. This, in turn, makes it will be somewhat easier to reach the racial goals of *Sheff* because some students who used to count as minority will now count as nonminority.

The new definition also provides that a school that enrolls Hartford-resident minority students through the Open Choice program will be deemed to provide a reduced-isolation setting (see Lighthouse School below).

The bill requires a magnet school governing authority to restrict the number of students from a participating district enrolling in the magnet school in order to meet the bill's reduced isolation standard. A governing authority may be a board of education, a regional education service center (RESC), an institution of higher education, or a

combination of these.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2014

§ 1 — Payment Schedule for Goodwin College Academy Magnet School and Limit on SDE Expense for Administration

The bill modifies the payment schedule, based on a trimester school year, for the per-student magnet school grant paid to Goodwin College for the College Academy magnet school. Under current law, initial payments to governing authorities must generally be made by September 1 with the remainder paid by May 1.

For FY 15 and each following year, the bill requires SDE to pay Goodwin College for operating the College Academy magnet as follows for each student enrolled:

- 1. in the summer term at the beginning of the fiscal year, 50% of the grant by August 1 the balance no later than either (a) September 1 for each such student who enrolls in the second trimester term or (b) May 1 for each such student who enrolls in the third trimester term; and
- 2. in the second trimester term of the fiscal year for a student who was not enrolled in the preceding summer term, 50% by September 1 and the balance by May 1 of the fiscal year if the student who enrolls in the third trimester term.

The May payment must be adjusted to reflect actual enrollment in the magnet school program as of the preceding summer and second trimester terms. The adjustment must initially use data of record as of the intervening October 1 and, later, data as of March 1, if the actual level of enrollment is lower than the projected enrollment included in the grant application. The May payment can be further adjusted for the difference between the total grant received in the prior fiscal year and the revised grant amount calculated for the prior fiscal year in cases where the financial audit submitted by the magnet school governing authority indicates an SDE overpayment.

The bill also caps at no more than \$500,000, the share of the total magnet school appropriation that SDE may retain for evaluation and administration.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2014

§ 2 — Renzulli Gifted and Talented Academy

The bill requires SDE to, within available appropriations, award a grant up to \$250,000 to the Hartford school district for program development and expansion of the Dr. Joseph S. Renzulli Gifted and Talented Academy to assist the state in meeting the *Sheff* 2013 stipulation goals. Application for the grant funds must be submitted annually to the education commissioner at a time and in a manner as he prescribes.

The bill also states that starting with the 2014-15 school year, any student who is not a Hartford resident who applies and is enrolled at Renzulli will be considered enrolled under the state's Open Choice program. The Open Choice program aims to reduce racial isolation by giving districts grants for accepting students from other districts. The bill permits any student accepted into Renzulli, based on the Renzulli's selective admissions policy, to be considered part of Open Choice, regardless of race. This allows the Hartford school district, Renzulli's parent district, to receive a per-student Open Choice grant for any student from outside Hartford who attends the school.

The bill specifies that the grants Renzulli receives under these provisions does not reduce its eligibility for any other state grant to which it may be entitled.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2014

§ 3 — Sheff Lighthouse School

The bill creates a program for the Hartford school district to receive an annal grant to convert an existing neighborhood school into a *Sheff* lighthouse school. SDE must, within available appropriations, award an annual grant of \$750,000 to Hartford for FY 15 through FY 18 to

assist in the development of curricula and staff training for the *Sheff* lighthouse.

The bill refers to the 2013 *Sheff* stipulation to define the Lighthouse schools as schools designated for additional funding and initiatives designed to improve educational outcomes while serving neighborhood or citywide populations. By offering improved programs, the schools aim to stabilize neighborhoods and improve racial integration. The stipulation states that all teachers at the lighthouse school teachers will remain Hartford public school teachers.

The bill requires the selection of the lighthouse school to be done through a collaborative process approved by the Hartford board of education and education commissioner. (Hartford has already started the process.)

The bill also states that starting with the 2014-15 school year, any student who is not a Hartford resident can apply to enroll in the lighthouse school and, if enrolled, will be considered enrolled under the state's Open Choice program. This means the Hartford school district receives a per-student Open Choice grant for any student who attends the lighthouse school who is not from Hartford.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2014

§ 4 — Supplemental Sheff Magnet Transportation Grants

The bill extends specific payment dates for supplemental *Sheff* magnet school transportation grants consistent with payment dates for previous fiscal years. For FYs 14 and 15, SDE must pay up to 50% of the grant by June 30 and the balance by September 1 upon completion of the comprehensive financial review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2014

§§ 5-12 & 17 — Technical Changes

These sections make technical changes related to the new *Sheff* stipulation.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2014

§§ 13-16 — Sheff School Construction Reimbursement Rate Changes and Authorization for Education Commissioner to Pay CREC's Local Construction Share

The bill authorizes SDE to pay 100% of the reimbursable construction costs for three new *Sheff* magnet schools. The schools are Greater Hartford Academy of the Arts Elementary Magnet School, Greater Hartford Academy of the Arts Middle Magnet School, and the Two Rivers Magnet High School; all existing schools that are moving to new facilities.

First, it authorizes a 95%, rather than an 80%, state reimbursement rate for three magnet schools planned by the Capital Region Education Council (CREC). By law, magnet schools receive an 80% reimbursement rate. Towns, regional districts and regional education service centers, like CREC, are reimbursed by the state for eligible school construction costs.

Second, by law, towns and districts pay a share of school construction costs. The bill authorizes the education commissioner to pay both the state and local shares of eligible project cost for the three CREC schools mentioned above. The bill adds this authorization to an existing special act provision that gave the commissioner the same authority for six other CREC projects.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Upon passage, except for the authorization regarding the local share of school construction costs, which is effective July 1, 2014.

§§ 18 & 19 — Capital Startup Grant Liens or Repayments

This bill exempts CREC from lien or repayment of capital startup cost grants of up to \$17 million in one previous school construction project authorization and up to \$7.5 million in another.

Both grant authorizations were to purchase buildings or portable classrooms, lease space, and purchase equipment, including,

computers and classroom furniture.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Upon passage

§ 20 — CAP ON STATE TRANSPORTATION GRANTS

The bill extends a cap on state transportation formula grants to school districts and regional education service centers (RESCs) for two more fiscal years, through June 30, 2015. The cap requires grants to be proportionately reduced when the state budget appropriations do not cover the full amounts required by the statutory formula. This grant was not capped last year when a number of other education grants were. In practice, SDE has operated this current fiscal year as if the cap were in place.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Upon passage

§ 21 — CHANGE TO PRIORITY SCHOOL DISTRICT AID

The bill (1) places an end date on a portion of the priority school district funding, (2) makes a small adjustment to another portion of the money for FY 14, and (3) extends an existing provision of the priority district funding to FY 15.

Under current law, there is an annual SBE allocation of \$3,216,908 for part of the priority school district funding. The bill ends this allocation as of June 30, 2012. Priority districts are school districts with high levels of student poverty and low student scores on standardized tests. By law, they are eligible for certain additional state aid.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Upon passage

§§ 22 & 23 — TECHNICAL CHANGES

These sections make technical changes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Upon passage

§§ 24 — CHANGES TO CHARTER SCHOOL LAW

The bill changes the formula for determining how much funding a local or regional board of education must provide to a local charter

school it sponsors. Under current law, the funding support from the board is the product of the number of students and the per-pupil cost for the prior year minus the state reimbursement for special education excess costs. The bill changes the second part of the equation to the per-pupil cost for the fiscal year two years before the year the board funding will be provided and does not subtract the reimbursement received under the special education excess cost grant.

It also changes the definition of per-pupil cost for the local or regional board from net current expenditure divided by average daily student membership to current program expenditures divided by number of resident students.

Finally, it changes the date, from April 15 to April 1, by which the state must make the final installment of its scheduled four part payment to a local charter school for the per-student annual grant. Currently, there are no local charter schools in Connecticut but one has been approved to open in New Haven this fall.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Upon passage

§ 25 — REQUIRED ADOPTION OF CHART OF ACCOUNTS DELAYED

The bill delays the deadline, from June 30, 2015 to June 30, 2016, for each board of education, RESC, and state charter school to implement a uniform system of accounting for school revenues and expenditures that includes a chart of accounts for use at the school and school district level. Each board of education, RESC, and state charter school must implement the system by filing annual financial reports using a chart of accounts that meets statutory requirements. By law, boards of education (1) annually submit receipts, expenditures, and statistics to the education commissioner and (2) have the information certified by an independent public accountant selected to audit municipal accounts.

By law, SDE must develop this system, which must include in the chart of accounts (1) all amounts and sources of revenue that a board

of education, RESC, charter school, or charter management organization receives and (2) cash or real property donations to a school district or school totaling an aggregate of \$500 or more.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Upon passage

§§ 26 & 27 — ALLIANCE DISTRICT FUNDS AND NONSUPPLANT PROVISION

The bill explicitly requires state education aid for an alliance districts to be expended for educational purposes only on the authorization of the local board of education in accordance with the law authorizing alliance district funding. This "nonsupplant" provision because it prevents education funds from being diverted for noneducation purposes.

Alliance districts, the 30 school districts with the lowest district performance index scores, receive increased state education aid and must expend the aid to further the goals of an improvement plan approved by SDE.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Upon passage

§ 28 — SCHOOL READINESS COST REIMBURSEMENT

The bill increases the maximum SDE school readiness per-child reimbursement from \$8,346 to \$8,661. The increase begins with FY 15 and continues every year thereafter.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2014

BACKGROUND

Related Bill

sSB 26, An Act Expanding Opportunities for Early Childhood Education, favorably reported by the Education Committee, also increases the school readiness per-child grant to \$8,661.

Sheff v. O'Neill

In 1996, the Connecticut Supreme Court ruled in *Sheff v. O'Neill* that the racial, ethnic, and economic isolation of Hartford public schools

students violated their right to equal educational opportunity and ordered the state to devise a solution. Since then, the state and the plaintiffs have agreed to a number of voluntary efforts to reduce racial isolation for Hartford students that have been carried out through three separate stipulations. The latest, the Phase III stipulation, covers the period from December 13, 2013 to June 30, 2015.

COMMITTEE ACTION

Education Committee

Joint Favorable Substitute Yea 33 Nay 0 (03/24/2014)